

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pagent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alckandha, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/815,270 | 03/31/2004 | Nathan E. Marushak | 34455/33736.P18317 | 7484 | |
| 7590 07/18/2006 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Grossman, Tucker, Perreault & Pfleger, PLLC | | | KING, JUSTIN | | |
| c/o PortfolioIP P.O. Box 52050 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Minneapolis, MN 55402 | | | 2111 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006 | DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| i ' | | MARUSHAK ET AL. | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Justin I. King | 2111 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Ay</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ | Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers | vn from consideration. | | |
| | · | _ | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12)[a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | |
| Attachment | | Δ\ □ Intonious Summeros | (PTO.413) | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ' No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | |

Art Unit: 2111

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of McNeill, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,499,378) and the standard disclosed SCSI command.

Referring to claim 1: McNeill discloses a SCSI emulation device/target system, which is equivalent to the claimed an expander device, capable of communicating with one or more initiator engines and one or more target storage devices using a plurality of communication protocols (column 3, 3rd paragraph).

McNeill discloses emulating the standard SCSI command (column 3, lines 23-27), but McNeil does not explicitly disclose persistent reservation and persistent affiliation. Since the

Art Unit: 2111

persistent reservation is a standard SCSI command as disclosed in the Specification, one with ordinary skill in the computer art will also implement McNeil's expander device to emulate the persistent reservation. And since McNeill's emulation device also connects the non-SCSI devices onto the SCSI network and translates the SCSI commands accordingly, McNeill's emulated SCSI commands on the non-SCSI devices are equivalent to the claimed persistent affiliation.

McNeill discloses connecting to non-SCSI device (column 3, line 18), and McNeill disclose the device as a serial magnetic disk (figure 2), which is equivalent to the claimed SATA storage.

Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the computer art at the time Applicant made the invention to implement to standard SCSI command emulation onto the McNeill because McNeill teaches one to expand the SCSI connection with a SCSI emulation and McNeill further teaches one to emulate the standard SCSI command in order to establish the connections.

Referring to claim 2: McNeill discloses initializing the target (figure 3, column 5, 1st paragraph), which is the claimed SMP.

Referring to claim 3: Each SCSI control card comes with a driver provided by the vendor for supporting the standard SCSI operations. The SCSI driver provided by the vendor is the vendor specific commands and the parameters associated with the vendor specific commands are the vendor specific data fields. Since both the persistent reservation and persistent affiliation are standard SCSI operations, the SCSI driver provided by the vendor comprises data indicative of at least one of persistent reservation and persistent affiliation.

Art Unit: 2111

Referring to claim 4: McNeill's expanding device's connecting means to the bus for communicating with other device is the physical interface.

Referring to claim 5: Exclusive access, such as write, is one of the standard SCSI I/O operations.

Referring to claim 6: The SCSI standard provides the basic mechanism for the dynamic contention resolution in systems (PERSISTENT RESERVE OUT and PERSISTENT RESERVE IN as disclosed in the SCSI Primary Commands); such basic mechanisms are the claimed determining means for the conflict existence.

Referring to claim 7: McNeill discloses a memory (column 5, line 21).

Referring to claim 8: Since power cycle is one of standard SCSI protocol as disclosed in the Specification, one with ordinary skill in the computer art will also implement McNeil's expander device to accommodate the power cycle.

Referring to claim 9: McNeill discloses a SCSI emulation device/target system, which is equivalent to the claimed an expander device, capable of communicating with one or more initiator engines and one or more target storage devices using a plurality of communication protocols (column 3, 3rd paragraph). The SCSI initiator is the claimed circuit card comprising an integrated circuit capable of communicating in accordance with a plurality of different communication protocols.

McNeill discloses emulating the standard SCSI command (column 3, lines 23-27), but McNeil does not explicitly disclose persistent reservation and persistent affiliation. Since the persistent reservation is a standard SCSI command as disclosed in the Specification, one with ordinary skill in the computer art will also implement McNeil's expander device to emulate the

Art Unit: 2111

persistent reservation. And since McNeill's emulation device also connects the non-SCSI devices onto the SCSI network and translates the SCSI commands accordingly, McNeill's emulated SCSI commands on the non-SCSI devices are equivalent to the claimed persistent affiliation.

McNeill discloses connecting to non-SCSI device (column 3, line 18), and McNeill disclose the device as a serial magnetic disk (figure 2), which is equivalent to the claimed SATA storage.

Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the computer art at the time Applicant made the invention to implement to standard SCSI command emulation onto the McNeill because McNeill teaches one to expand the SCSI connection with a SCSI emulation and McNeill further teaches one to emulate the standard SCSI command in order to establish the connections.

Referring to claim 10: McNeill discloses initializing the target (figure 3, column 5, 1st paragraph), which is the claimed SMP.

Referring to claim 11: Each SCSI control card comes with a driver provided by the vendor for supporting the standard SCSI operations. The SCSI driver provided by the vendor is the vendor specific commands and the parameters associated with the vendor specific commands are the vendor specific data fields. Since both the persistent reservation and persistent affiliation are standard SCSI operations, the SCSI driver provided by the vendor comprises data indicative of at least one of persistent reservation and persistent affiliation.

Referring to claim 12: McNeill's expanding device's connecting means to the bus for communicating with other device is the physical interface.

Art Unit: 2111

Referring to claim 13: Exclusive access, such as write, is one of the standard SCSI I/O operations.

Referring to claim 14: The SCSI standard provides the basic mechanism for the dynamic contention resolution in systems (PERSISTENT RESERVE OUT and PERSISTENT RESERVE IN as disclosed in the SCSI Primary Commands); such basic mechanisms are the claimed determining means for the conflict existence.

Referring to claim 15: McNeill discloses a memory (column 5, line 21).

Referring to claim 16: Since power cycle is one of standard SCSI protocol as disclosed in the Specification, one with ordinary skill in the computer art will also implement McNeil's expander device to accommodate the power cycle.

Referring to claim 17: McNeill discloses a SCSI emulation device/target system, which is equivalent to the claimed an expander device, capable of communicating with one or more initiator engines and one or more target storage devices using a plurality of communication protocols (column 3, 3rd paragraph). McNeill discloses a memory (column 5, line 21) to support emulation, which is the claimed storage medium.

McNeil discloses emulating the standard SCSI command (column 3, lines 23-27), but McNeil does not explicitly disclose persistent reservation and persistent affiliation. Since the persistent reservation is a standard SCSI command as disclosed in the Specification, one with ordinary skill in the computer art will also implement McNeil's expander device to emulate the persistent reservation. And since McNeill's emulation device also connects the non-SCSI devices onto the SCSI network and translates the SCSI commands accordingly, McNeill's

Art Unit: 2111

emulated SCSI commands on the non-SCSI devices are equivalent to the claimed persistent affiliation.

McNeill discloses connecting to non-SCSI device (column 3, line 18), and McNeill disclose the device as a serial magnetic disk (figure 2), which is equivalent to the claimed SATA storage.

Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the computer art at the time Applicant made the invention to implement to standard SCSI command emulation onto the McNeill because McNeill teaches one to expand the SCSI connection with a SCSI emulation and McNeill further teaches one to emulate the standard SCSI command in order to establish the connections.

Referring to claim 18: McNeill discloses initializing the target (figure 3, column 5, 1st paragraph), which is the claimed SMP.

Referring to claims 19-20: Each SCSI control card comes with a driver provided by the vendor for supporting the standard SCSI operations. The SCSI driver provided by the vendor is the vendor specific commands and the parameters associated with the vendor specific commands are the vendor specific data fields. Since both the persistent reservation and persistent affiliation are standard SCSI operations, the SCSI driver provided by the vendor comprises data indicative of at least one of persistent reservation and persistent affiliation.

Referring to claim 21: The SCSI standard provides the basic mechanism for the dynamic contention resolution in systems (PERSISTENT RESERVE OUT and PERSISTENT RESERVE IN as disclosed in the SCSI Primary Commands); such basic mechanisms are the claimed determining means for the conflict existence.

Referring to claim 22: McNeill discloses a SCSI emulation device/target system, which is equivalent to the claimed an expander device, capable of communicating with one or more initiator engines and one or more target storage devices using a plurality of communication protocols (column 3, 3rd paragraph).

McNeill discloses emulating the standard SCSI command (column 3, lines 23-27), but McNeil does not explicitly disclose persistent reservation and persistent affiliation. Since the persistent reservation is a standard SCSI command as disclosed in the Specification, one with ordinary skill in the computer art will also implement McNeil's expander device to emulate the persistent reservation. And since McNeill's emulation device also connects the non-SCSI devices onto the SCSI network and translates the SCSI commands accordingly, McNeill's emulated SCSI commands on the non-SCSI devices are equivalent to the claimed persistent affiliation.

McNeill discloses connecting to non-SCSI device (column 3, line 18), and McNeill disclose the device as a serial magnetic disk (figure 2), which is equivalent to the claimed SATA storage.

Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the computer art at the time Applicant made the invention to implement to standard SCSI command emulation onto the McNeill because McNeill teaches one to expand the SCSI connection with a SCSI emulation and McNeill further teaches one to emulate the standard SCSI-command in order to establish the connections.

Referring to claim 23: McNeill discloses initializing the target (figure 3, column 5, 1st paragraph), which is the claimed SMP.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,270 Page 9

Art Unit: 2111

Referring to claims 24-25: Each SCSI control card comes with a driver provided by the vendor for supporting the standard SCSI operations. The SCSI driver provided by the vendor is the vendor specific commands and the parameters associated with the vendor specific commands are the vendor specific data fields. Since both the persistent reservation and persistent affiliation are standard SCSI operations, the SCSI driver provided by the vendor comprises data indicative of at least one of persistent reservation and persistent affiliation.

Referring to claim 26: The SCSI standard provides the basic mechanism for the dynamic contention resolution in systems (PERSISTENT RESERVE OUT and PERSISTENT RESERVE IN as disclosed in the SCSI Primary Commands); such basic mechanisms are the claimed determining means for the conflict existence.

Referring to claim 27: McNeill discloses an invention connecting SCSI initiator to a non-SCSI device and to a SCSI device on a non-local bus. Although McNeill does not explicitly disclose an embodiment of a second tier of expanding connection as claimed, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that the foregoing and other changes and details may be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention (column 8, 2nd paragraph). In addition, an Office Notice is taken on the following: a multi-tier bridging structure in connecting a plurality of devices is well known in the computer art.

Response to Arguments

4. In response to Applicant's argument that the prior art does not describe or suggest creating a persistent reservation or a persistent affiliation between one or more target SATA storage devices and one or more initiator engines (Remark, page 12, last paragraph): The SCSI

Art Unit: 2111

protocol discloses the persistent reservation and the persistent affiliation. The McNeill discloses a system connecting a non-SCSI disks with SCSI protocol (abstract). McNeill teaches one to connect/control to non-SCSI storage devices with SCSI emulator. The SATA storage device is a non-SCSI storage device, and the SATA protocol is a well-known protocol for storage device in the computer art. McNeill further states that while the McNeill's invention is shown with reference to particular embodiment, one with ordinary skill in the computer art will understand that the forgoing and other changes and details may be made without departing form the spirit and scope of the invention (column 8, lines 7-11). The particular type of the non-SCSI protocol, such as the SATA in the claimed limitation, is merely a matter of design choice and would have been obvious. The McNeill teaches connecting non-SCSI storage device with the SCSI protocol, which includes the persistent reservation and the persistent affiliation. The particular type of the non-SCSI protocol, such as the SATA, does not define a patentably distinct invention over the McNeill since both the Applicant's invention as a whole and the McNeill are directed to connecting a storage device with SCSI protocol's persistent reservation and the persistent affiliation. The particular type of the non-SCSI protocol is inconsequential for the invention as a whole and presents no new or unexpected results, so long as the persistent reservation and the persistent affiliation are used for the connection. Therefore, to have SATA protocol as claimed would have been a matter of obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the computer art.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,270 Page 11

Art Unit: 2111

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of recorded and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 5,748,924 to Llorens et al.: Llorens discloses an adapter to connect a serial device to the SCSI bus.

U.S. Patent No. 6,654,902 to Brunelle et al.: Brunelle teaches a method in handling the persistent reservation I/O barriers.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin I. King whose telephone number is 571-272-3628. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00 am to 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on 571-272-3632 or on the central telephone number, (571) 272-2100. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/815,270 Page 12

Art Unit: 2111

Lastly, paper copies of cited U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will cease to be mailed to applicants with Office actions as of June 2004. Paper copies of foreign patents and non-patent literature will continue to be included with office actions. These cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants are referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197 for information on this policy. Requests to restart a period for response due to a missing U.S. patent or patent application publications will not be granted.

Justin King July 6, 2006 Glenn A. Auve Primary Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100